# Rare Plant and Vegetation Survey of Maryhill State Park



## **Pacific Biodiversity Institute**



# Rare Plant and Vegetation Survey of Maryhill State Park

Peter H. Morrison pm@pacificbio.org

and

Hans M. Smith IV hans@pacificbio.org

November 2008

Pacific Biodiversity Institute P.O. Box 298 Winthrop, Washington 98862 509-996-2490

### **Recommended** Citation

Morrison, P.H. and H.M. Smith IV, 2008. Rare Plant and Vegetation Survey of Maryhill State Park. Pacific Biodiversity Institute, Winthrop, Washington. 41 p.

### Acknowledgements

Juliet Rhodes, Diana Hackenburg, and Alexis Monetta assisted with data entry and validation. The photographs in this report were taken by Peter Morrison.

## **Project Funding**

This project was funded by the Washington State Parks and Recreation Commission.

### **Executive Summary**

Pacific Biodiversity Institute conducted a rare plant and vegetation community survey of Maryhill State Park for the Washington State Parks and Recreation Commission during the 2008 field season. Maryhill State Park covers about 97 acres. The park is located along the north side of the Columbia River, immediately east of the bridge to Biggs, Oregon.

A total of 17 vegetation community polygons consisting of 6 general land cover types were mapped and surveyed in Maryhill State Park. The park has a history of intensive human disturbance and development; hence, no significant native plant communities exist in the park. All existing patches of semi-natural vegetation are dominated by non-native plants and have been heavily disturbed and altered by human activities.

No rare plants listed by the State of Washington were found in Maryhill State Park. Noxious weeds and exotic plants are widespread in the undeveloped portions of the park.

The ecological condition of Maryhill State Park varied from completely developed to poor condition. There are many restoration opportunities at Maryhill, but few would be a high priority from a statewide perspective.

## **Table of Contents**

Introduction	6
Survey Conditions and Survey Routes	6
Vegetation Community Surveys	7
Methods	7
Results	8
Historical Vegetation	8
Vegetation Community Mapping	8
Vegetation Community and Land Cover Types	10
Rare Plant Surveys	13
Methods	13
Results	13
Vascular Plant List for Maryhill State Park	14
Discussion and Recommendations	16
Noxious Weeds	16
Ecological Condition	18
Restoration Opportunities	19
Other Recommendations	19
GIS Products Produced	19
References	20
Appendix A – Ecological Condition Ranking System	21
Appendix B – Vegetation Survey Data Methods and Codes	22
Appendix C – Vegetation Survey Polygon Data	25

### Introduction

Maryhill State Park was surveyed for rare plant occurrences, vegetation communities and characteristics, noxious weeds and ecological condition by Pacific Biodiversity Institute (PBI) under contract with the Washington State Parks and Recreation Commission (WSPRC). This report summarizes the activities and findings of the contracted work.

Maryhill State Park is a 97 acre property located along the Columbia River in Klickitat County, Washington. It is a highly developed park with a history of intense human disturbance. There are no areas left in a natural, pristine condition within the park boundary. The water-table of the park landscape, and associated vegetation has been significantly altered by the impoundment of water behind th Dalles Dam in the Columbia River The conversion of surrounding lands to agriculture, and the adjacent highlway and railroad activities and development have also impacted the park site. The primary use of the park is as a campground used primarily by travelers.

## **Survey Conditions and Survey Routes**

The project area was surveyed by two botanist/ecologists on April 13, 2008 and by one botanist/ecologist on August 3, 2008. Our routes from these surveys are illustrated in Figure 1. Most of the park was accessible by maintained roads and trails.

The western portion of the park appears to not be controlled and managed by the park and there is a sign restricting this portion of the park to Native American tribal people from selected tribes. The sign denotes this area as the Maryhill Treaty Fishing Access Site, US Dept. of Interior Bureau of Indian Affairs and lists the following tribes: Nez Pierce, Umatilla, Warm Springs, and Yakama. Also, an area on the northeastern part of the park appears to be private land and is part of a commercial orchard. This area also does not appear to be under park control. These two areas were not surveyed.



Figure 1. Field Survey Schedule and Routes.

## **Vegetation Community Surveys**

### Methods

The first step of this project was to assemble and review the existing data and literature available about the park and its vegetation characteristics. Maps and remotely sensed data were assembled for each park and rare plant sightings were located on these maps. Initially, we used aerial photography and satellite imagery to manually digitize plant communities or mosaics of plant communities in a GIS environment. We reviewed orthorectified aerial photography and recent satellite images for discernable vegetation or landform patterns. Topographic maps and digital elevation models (DEMs) were also employed to assist the process of vegetation community delineation. Vegetation polygons were created by hand in a GIS by ocular assessment. The vegetation community polygon data was edited and stored in an ESRI personal geodatabase. Vegetation polygons represent specific plant communities or unique mosaics of plant communities. They may also represent a significant variation in the ecological condition within a plant community.

Parks were visited at least two times during the season to assure observation of both early and lateblooming plant species. The first visit was primarily a reconnaissance of the area to create a basic plant list and conduct initial rare plant surveys. The second visit added more species to the plant list during different times of the season.

We assigned a vegetation community type (usually an established plant association name) and other vegetation attributes to each vegetation polygon. Field work concluded with an ecological assessment of the polygons delineated within the parks assigning each vegetation community within a polygon to an ecological condition rank (Appendix A).

Since all the polygons at Maryhill State Park represented developed or highly disturbed areas, we did not assign any natural plant community description or plant association to the polygons. We only described the general vegetation condition and land cover associated with the polygon. Individual species occurring within the polygons were recorded as part of the vegetation database associated with the polygon.

Survey personnel had printed and digital aerial imagery available during field visits. The latter was accessed in the field using ArcPad software (ESRI 2007) running on pocket PC, GPS enabled devices. This allowed us to easily view the data in the field, to evaluate our polygon delineations, and to make changes if necessary. It also allowed all survey routes to be mapped on a GPS while performing the vegetation surveys. Data could be viewed and edited directly from field locations, resulting in a field-verified vegetation map.

Plant community data was recorded based on methods developed by the WSPRC (Appendix B). Recorded data included a wide variety of information about vegetation, environmental characteristics, disturbance history and notes for each polygon. Each polygon was rated for its overall ecological condition.

Once gathered, the field data was edited and entered into a Microsoft Access database and linked to the vegetation polygon geodatabase. Further refinements and editing of the vegetation data stored in the personal geodatabase was made based on information collected in the field with ArcPad.

### Results

### **Historical Vegetation**

The historical vegetation of Maryhill State Park is Columbia Basin shrub-steppe and/or grassland habitat. No accurate historic vegetation maps exist for the park area, but a historic vegetation map produced by Northwest Habitat Institute (Kiilsgaard and Barrett 1999) was consulted and corresponds to our observations. The park vegetation was substantially altered by flooding and resulting higher water tables created by the Dalles Dam on the Columbia River. The Dalles Dam was constructed in 1960. The vegetation prior to dam construction was probably dry shrub-steppe. We examined Landsat MSS satellite imagery from 1972, 1986 and 1992 and it appears that much of the irrigated and subirrigated area within the park was wet as far back as 1972. However, an increase in irrigated area was observed between 1986 and 1992.

### **Vegetation Community Mapping**

A total of 17 polygons covered by 6 general land cover types were mapped and visited in Maryhill State Park (Figures 2 and 3, Table 1). The park has a long history of human disturbance and development. There are no native plant communities present that have not been heavily disturbed and altered by past human activities.



Figure 2. Map of Maryhill State Park showing vegetation community polygons overlaid onto an aerial photo of the park.

Plant Association or Land Cover (code; reference)	Plant Communities or Land Cover Observed
Artificial pond / wetland	Artificial pond / wetland
Columbia River	Columbia River
Disturbed/exotic forest	Disturbed/exotic forest
Disturbed/exotic forest and wetland	Disturbed/exotic forest and wetland
Orchard	Private commercial orchard
Developed	Developed area, campgrounds, facilities, etc.

Figure 3 shows a map of Maryhill State Park classified into the primary land cover types attributed to each polygon. The GIS database created for this project can be queried and displayed to show the more complex mixtures of vegetation communities that occur in many polygons. Appendix C lists the attributes for each polygon in the project area.



Figure 3. Land cover types attributed to each vegetation polygon

### **Vegetation Community and Land Cover Types**

### Artificial pond / wetland

There are two ponds that were created by dredging in the park, near the edge of the Columbia River. These ponds are rather scummy by late summer, but have some weedy wetland vegetation along the edge. They are not high quality wetlands.



Figure 4. Photograph of artificial pond in the park.

### Columbia River

Small polygons that represent inundation from impounded water along the Columbia River are within the park boundary.

### **Developed** Area

Most of the park is developed as a campground, parking lot, other visitor facilities, swimming beaches, and ranger facilities. Small patches of undeveloped, yet highly disturbed land are also contained in Polygon 1, which encompasses the main developed areas. These patches were not mapped, as they are significantly less than the minimum mapping unit.



Figure 5. Photograph of the campground.

### Disturbed/exotic forest

There is a small strip of forest with exotic trees and high levels of human disturbance between the campground and the Columbia River (polygon 15). This polygon also contains a high use beach area. This area is in poor ecological condition.

### Disturbed/exotic forest and wetland

Three polygons characterized by small patches of disturbed/exotic forested wetlands or forest/wetland mixes are located in the park. These areas are in poor ecological condition. They are the most "wild" parts of the park landscape, however they are dominated by exotic plants such as tree of heaven, white mulberry and reed canarygrass.

### Orchard

A portion of a commercial orchard lies within the GIS park boundary (Figure 3). If the GIS park boundary is correct, this private use of park lands may need attention.

## **Rare Plant Surveys**

### Methods

We visited Maryhill State Park twice during the 2008 field season to conduct rare plant surveys. We used the Washington Department of Natural Resources Natural Heritage Program's (DNR NHP) rare plant list to determine the conservation status of vascular plants encountered in the field.

Field surveys were conducted on: April 13 and August 3. During the field surveys, we were equipped with reference literature; rare plant lists for the area, maps showing rare plant locations from previous surveys, and a portable plant identification lab. We looked for rare plants in habitats previously identified as being likely occurrence sites. So as not to miss a rare plant, all vascular plant species encountered during the inventory were identified on site, at base camp in the portable laboratory, or back at our office.

Survey routes were determined based on the desire to efficiently cover a large proportion of the park's area throughout the field season. We surveyed areas of the park more intensively where rare plants are more likely to occur. Survey routes for the rare plant inventory and rare plant locations were recorded either by hand, on a hardcopy topographic map, or as GPS waypoints and trackpoints, all of which were later compiled into a single GIS data layer, depicted in Figure 1 (page 6).

### Results

No plant species listed as threatened, endangered or sensitive were encountered in the project area. Given the fact that the state park is highly developed and has a long history of human use and disturbance, it is highly unlikely that a rare plant population exists here.



The Washington DNR NHP program GIS data on rare plant locations does show a previous sighting location for Lomatium *laevigatum*, a state threatened plant, just north of the park boundary. All of the mapped population is north of the state highway and is outside of the park (Figure 6). It is quite possible that this species once occurred within the area now designated as park property, before human activity drastically altered and replaced the natural communities. It might be possible to reestablish a population of this rare plant within the park on one of the disturbed sites. This could be accomplished by removing non-native species and planting Lomatium laevigatum seeds.

Figure 6. Population of *Lomatium laevigatum* north of the park boundary.

## Vascular Plant List for Maryhill State Park

95 vascular plant species were identified to at least genus within the project area during 2008 field surveys. Of these, 55 species are known exotic plants, meaning 58% of the park's plant diversity is non-native. Table 2 provides a list of all plant species identified during 2008 field surveys.

Symbol	Scientific Name with Author	National Common Name	Family	Noxious Weed Status	Exotic
ACMA3	Acer macrophyllum Pursh	bigleaf maple	Aceraceae		
AGCR	Agropyron cristatum (L.) Gaertn.	crested wheatgrass	Poaceae		yes
AIAL	Ailanthus altissima (Mill.) Swingle	tree of heaven	Simaroubaceae		yes
ALMA5	Allium macrum S. Watson	rock onion	Liliaceae		
AMFR	Amorpha fruticosa L.	desert false indigo	Fabaceae	В	yes
	Amsinckia menziesii (Lehm.) A. Nelson & J.F. Macbr. var.	Manziaa' fiddlanaak	Deregingene		
			Aniagenaceae		
ANCA14	Antoniscus caucalis M. Bieb.		Aplaceae		yes
ARDR4	Artemisia diacunculus L.		Asteraceae		
ARLU	Artemisia ludoviciana Nutt.	white sagebrush	Asteraceae		
ARTR2	Artemisia tridentata Nutt.	big sagebrush	Asteraceae		
ASFA	Asclepias fascicularis Decne.	Mexican whorled milkweed	Asclepiadaceae		
ASOF	Asparagus officinalis L.	garden asparagus	Liliaceae		yes
BRTE	Bromus tectorum L.	cheatgrass	Poaceae		yes
CANUN2	Cardamine nuttallii Greene var. nuttallii	palmate toothwort	Brassicaceae		
CADR	Cardaria draba (L.) Desv.	whitetop	Brassicaceae	С	yes
CEDI3	Centaurea diffusa Lam.	diffuse knapweed	Asteraceae	В	ves
CESO3	Centaurea solstitialis L.	yellow star-thistle	Asteraceae	В	yes
CESTM	Centaurea stoebe L. ssp. micranthos (Gugler) Hayek	spotted knapweed	Asteraceae	В	yes
CEDU2	Guépin	doubtful chickweed	Caryophyllaceae		yes
CEGL2	Cerastium glomeratum Thuill.	sticky chickweed	Caryophyllaceae		yes
СНАМ	Chenopodium ambrosioides L.	Mexican tea	Chenopodiaceae		yes
CHBO2	Chenopodium botrys L.	Jerusalem oak goosefoot	Chenopodiaceae		yes
CHVI8	Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus (Hook.) Nutt.	yellow rabbitbrush	Asteraceae		
CIIN	Cichorium intybus L.	chicory	Asteraceae		yes
CIAR4	Cirsium arvense (L.) Scop.	Canada thistle	Asteraceae	С	yes
CIVU	Cirsium vulgare (Savi) Ten.	bull thistle	Asteraceae	С	yes
COCA5	Conyza canadensis (L.) Cronquist	Canadian horseweed	Asteraceae		
DAGL	Dactylis glomerata L.	orchardgrass	Poaceae		yes
DACA6	Daucus carota L.	Queen Anne's lace	Apiaceae	В	yes
DEIN5	Descurainia incana (Bernh. ex Fisch. & C.A. Mey.) Dorn	mountain tansymustard	Brassicaceae		

 Table 2. Vascular Plant Species identified at Maryhill State Park

	Scientific Name with	National Common		Noxious Weed	
Symbol	Author	Name	Family	Status	Exotic
DEPI	Descurainia pinnata (Walter) Britton	western tansymustard	Brassicaceae		
DRVE2	Draba verna L.	spring draba	Brassicaceae		yes
ELAN	Elaeagnus angustifolia L.	Russian olive	Elaeagnaceae		yes
ELPA3	Eleocharis palustris (L.) Roem. & Schult.	common spikerush	Cyperaceae		
ELGL	Elymus glaucus Buckley	blue wildrye	Poaceae		
EPMI	Epilobium minutum Lindl. ex Lehm.	chaparral willowherb	Onagraceae		
EQTE	Equisetum telmateia Ehrh.	giant horsetail	Equisetaceae		
ERNA10	Ericameria nauseosa (Pall. ex Pursh) G.L. Nesom & Baird	rubber rabbitbrush	Asteraceae		
ERNI2	Eriogonum niveum Douglas ex Benth.	snow buckwheat	Polygonaceae		
ERIOP	Eriophorum L.	cottongrass	Cyperaceae		
ERCI6	Erodium cicutarium (L.) L'Hér. ex Aiton	redstem stork's bill	Geraniaceae		yes
ESCA2	Eschscholzia californica Cham.	California poppy	Papaveraceae		
GAAP2	Galium aparine L.	stickywilly	Rubiaceae		
GYPA	Gypsophila paniculata L.	baby's breath	Caryophyllaceae	С	yes
HEHE	Hedera helix L.	English ivy	Araliaceae	С	yes
HEVI4	Heterotheca villosa (Pursh) Shinners	hairy false goldenaster	Asteraceae		
JUARL	Juncus arcticus Willd. ssp. littoralis (Engelm.) Hultén	mountain rush	Juncaceae		
JUNCU	Juncus L.	rush	Juncaceae		
LASE	Lactuca serriola L.	prickly lettuce	Asteraceae		yes
LAAM	Lamium amplexicaule L.	henbit deadnettle	Lamiaceae		yes
LAMIU	Lamium L.	deadnettle	Lamiaceae		
LELA2	Lepidium latifolium L.	broadleaved pepperweed	Brassicaceae	В	yes
LEVI3	Lepidium virginicum L.	Virginia pepperweed	Brassicaceae		
LOTUS	Lotus L.	trefoil	Fabaceae		yes
MAAQ2	Mahonia aquifolium (Pursh) Nutt.	hollyleaved barberry	Berberidaceae		
ΜΔΡΔ5	Malva parviflora I	cheeseweed	Malvaceae		VAS
MADIA	Matricaria discoidea DC	disc mayweed	Asteraceae		Ves
MESA	Medicago sativa I	alfalfa	Fabaceae		ves
MEOF	Melilotus officinalis (L.) Lam.	vellow sweetclover	Fabaceae		ves
MOAL	Morus alba L.	white mulberry	Moraceae		ves
PHAR3	Phalaris arundinacea L.	reed canarygrass	Poaceae	С	yes
PICO	Pinus contorta Douglas ex Louden	lodgepole pine	Pinaceae		
PLLA	Plantago lanceolata L.	narrowleaf plantain	Plantaginaceae		yes
PLMA2	Plantago major L.	common plantain	Plantaginaceae		yes
POAN	Poa annua L.	annual bluegrass	Poaceae		yes
POBU	Poa bulbosa L.	bulbous bluegrass	Poaceae		yes

Symbol	Scientific Name with	National Common	Family	Noxious Weed Status	Exotic
Cymbol		Kentucky		Otatus	LAUG
POPR	Poa pratensis L.	bluegrass	Poaceae		yes
POAL7	Populus alba L.	white poplar	Salicaceae		yes
	Populus balsamifera L. ssp. trichocarpa (Torr. & A. Gray				
POBAT	ex Hook.) Brayshaw	black cottonwood	Salicaceae		
PRDO	Prunus domestica L.	European plum	Rosaceae		yes
	Purshia tridentata (Pursh)	antelope	Deserves		
PUIKZ	DC. Quercus garryana Douglas	Dillerbrush	Rusaceae		
QUGA4	ex Hook.	Oregon white oak	Fagaceae		
RHGL	Rhus glabra L.	smooth sumac	Anacardiaceae		
ROPS	Robinia pseudoacacia L.	black locust	Fabaceae		ves
	•	Himalayan			, í
RUAR9	Rubus armeniacus Focke	blackberry	Rosaceae		yes
RULA	Rubus laciniatus Willd.	cutleaf blackberry	Rosaceae		yes
RUCR	Rumex crispus L.	curly dock	Polygonaceae		yes
SAEX	Salix exigua Nutt.	narrowleaf willow	Salicaceae		
SALIX	Salix L.	willow	Salicaceae		
SAKA	Salsola kali L.	Russian thistle	Chenopodiaceae		yes
	Schoenoplectus acutus (Muhl. ex Bigelow) A. Löve &				
SCAC3	D. Löve	hardstem bulrush	Cyperaceae		
SCIRP	Scirpus L.	bulrush	Cyperaceae		
SCAN2	Scleranthus annuus L.	German knotgrass	Caryophyllaceae		yes
SIORP2	Sidalcea oregana (Nutt. ex Torr. & A. Gray) A. Gray ssp. oregana var. procera C.L. Hitchc.	Oregon checkerbloom	Malvaceae		
SIAL2	Sisymbrium altissimum L.	tall tumblemustard	Brassicaceae		yes
SOCA6	Solidago canadensis L.	Canada goldenrod	Asteraceae		
STME2	Stellaria media (L.) Vill.	common chickweed	Caryophyllaceae		yes
TAOF	Taraxacum officinale F.H. Wigg.	common dandelion	Asteraceae		yes
TRDU	Tragopogon dubius Scop.	yellow salsify	Asteraceae		yes
TRRE3	Trifolium repens L.	white clover	Fabaceae		yes
TYLA	Typha latifolia L.	broadleaf cattail	Typhaceae		
VEAR	Veronica arvensis L.	corn speedwell	Scrophulariaceae		yes
VICIA	Vicia L.	vetch	Fabaceae		yes
VUMY	Vulpia myuros (L.) C.C. Gmel.	rat-tail fescue	Poaceae		yes

## **Discussion and Recommendations**

### **Noxious Weeds**

There are significant patches of noxious weeds in the park. The largest patches were mapped during field surveys (Figure 7). Smaller populations also occur within the park. The noxious weeds that we observed

in each polygon are recorded in the corresponding attribute item in the polygon attributes table, a report of which has been provided as Appendix C.



Figure 7. Major noxious weed patches within the park.

A list of the noxious weeds of Washington State is presented in Table 3. We found five Class B weeds and five Class C weeds.

Some of the disturbed/exotic forests in the park have a large component of tree of heaven (*Ailanthus altissima* (Mill.) Swingle ). This tree is highly invasive and should be listed as a noxious weed in Washington. It is listed as noxious in California. It should be controlled and replaced by a native tree species.

Symbol	Scientific Name with Author	National Common Name	State Weed Status
AMFR	Amorpha fruticosa L.	desert false indigo	В
CEDI3	Centaurea diffusa Lam.	diffuse knapweed	В
CESO3	Centaurea solstitialis L.	yellow star-thistle	В
CESTM	Centaurea stoebe L. ssp. micranthos (Gugler) Hayek	spotted knapweed	В
DACA6	Daucus carota L.	Queen Anne's lace	В
CIAR4	Cirsium arvense (L.) Scop.	Canada thistle	С
CIVU	Cirsium vulgare (Savi) Ten.	bull thistle	С
GYPA	Gypsophila paniculata L.	baby's breath	С
HEHE	Hedera helix L.	English ivy	С
PHAR3	Phalaris arundinacea L.	reed canarygrass	С

#### Table 3. State listed noxius weeds at Maryhill State Park

### **Ecological Condition**

The ecological conditions of all vegetation community polygons within Maryhill State Park are either developed or poor (see Appendix A for definitions). A map of the overall ecological condition is presented in Figure 8. No high quality natural vegetation patches of any significant size exist in the park.

Most of the vegetation in the park is non-native vegetation. Although we did not record all the non-native species we found in the developed portion of the park, we did find 55 non-native species or 58% of the park flora that is non-native. This is a very high percentage of non-native flora compared to other parks we have surveyed in Washington State, illustrating the point that this park is in overall poor ecological condition.



Figure 8. Ecological condition ranks of vegetation polygons.

### **Restoration Opportunities**

There are many areas illustrated in Figure 8 where restoration activities could enhance the park's ecosystems. Most of the wetlands become very smelly and scummy by late summer and are currently not appealing places for park visitors. These artificial wetlands could be improved to provide a more aesthetic environment. Intensive restoration efforts could also provide some ecological benefits.

Although restoration opportunities exist at Maryhill State Park, this park would not be a high priority for allocation of funding for restoration from a statewide priority basis. So much of the park is developed already and there is no significant natural habitat in the park. Restoration within the park would not greatly enhance biodiversity values or ecological values in the larger landscape. Restoration activities would not provide connectivity between patches of high value habitat. Control of noxious weeds would help prevent them from spreading into the larger landscape.

### Other Recommendations

Two areas within the GIS boundary of the park appear to be not managed or controlled by WSPRC. Perhaps the park boundary needs to be redrawn and area estimates of the park adjusted. Coordination with the county assessor is advised as the State may be loosing tax revenue due to improper attribution of land ownership.

### **GIS Products Produced**

Associated with this report are polygon layers created by PBI depicting the vegetation community types mapped in the project area of within Maryhill State Park. The datasets have been converted into ESRI shapefile formats and provided to WSPRC. The spatial datasets are complete with metadata meeting FGDC standards. Refer to the associated metadata for descriptions and attribute definitions for each spatial dataset.

### References

Beck, K. and J. Arnett. 2001. State Parks Vegetation Surveys: April, May, and June 2001. 25 p.

- Bourgeron, P. S., and L. D. Engelking, editors. 1994. A preliminary vegetation classification of the western United States. Unpublished report. The Nature Conservancy, Western Heritage Task Force, Boulder, CO. 175 pp. plus appendix.
- Crawford, Rex C. 2003. A riparian vegetation classification of the Columbia Basin, Washington. 2003.
   Washington Natural Heritage Program, Washington Department of Natural Resources, Olympia, WA 98504-7016. Published in coordination with Bureau of Land Management, Spokane District and The Nature Conservancy.
- Crawford, R.C. 1999. Preliminary key to shrub-steppe plant associations in Washington State. Washington Natural Heritage Program, Washington Department of Natural Resources, Olympia, WA.
- Daubenmire, R. F. 1970. Steppe vegetation of Washington. Washington State University Agricultural Experiment Station Technical Bulletin No. 62. 131 pp.
- Hitchcock, C.L. and A. Cronquist. 1973. Flora of the Pacific Northwest: An Illustrated Manual University of Washington Press, Seattle.
- Hitchcock, C.L., Cronquist, A., Ownbey, M., and J. W. Thompson. 1955. Vascular Plants of the Pacific Northwest. University of Washington Press, Seattle.
- Kagan, J. S., J. A. Christy, M. P. Murray, and J. A. Titus. 2000. Classification of native vegetation of Oregon. Oregon Natural Heritage Program, Portland. 63 pp.
- Kiilsgaard, Chris and Charley Barrett. 1999. Washington Historic Wildlife-Habitat Types. Digital raster data. Northwest Habitat Institute, Corvallis, OR. http://www.nwhi.org.
- Morrison, P.H. and H.M. Smith IV, 2007. Rare Plant and Vegetation Survey of Bottle Beach, Grayland Beach, Twin Harbors, Westhaven and Westport Light State Parks. Pacific Biodiversity Institute, Winthrop, Washington. 149 p.
- WANHP [Washington Natural Heritage Program]. No date. Unpublished data files. Washington Natural Heritage Program, Department of Natural Resources, Olympia, WA.
- Western Ecology Working Group of NatureServe. No date. International Ecological Classification Standard: International Vegetation Classification -Terrestrial Vegetation. NatureServe, Boulder, CO.

## Appendix A – Ecological Condition Ranking System

### **Ecological Condition Ranks**

When assessing conservation priorities and management decisions, it can be useful to rank natural communities into levels of ecological condition. For example, an unfragmented area with high native species diversity, absence of non-native species and little soil erosion often has greater conservation value than another area in the same habitat type that is fragmented, infested with weeds or has erosion problems. Likewise, areas with a lower ecological condition rank may be targets for restoration activities.

The flowing ecological condition ranks were applied to vegetation polygons that were surveyed in this project:

#### **Excellent Ecological Condition**

Areas in this class have very few non-native plants. The composition and structure of native vegetation in this condition class correspond to the natural range of variation characteristic to this habitat type. Old-growth conditions often exist. Species diversity of native plants and animals is often high relative to the natural community under consideration. Wildlife habitat conditions are optimal for species of conservation concern. Soil compaction, accelerated erosion and hydrologic alteration are absent. Direct signs of human-induced ecological stress is absent. Many rare plant and animal species may only exist within this condition class.

#### ■ Good Ecological Condition

Areas in this class have few non-native plants. The composition and structure of native vegetation in this condition class correspond to the natural range of variation characteristic to this habitat type. Old-growth conditions may exist , but have been subject to some human-induced stress. Species diversity of native plants and animals is moderately high relative to the natural community under consideration. Wildlife habitat conditions are adequate for species of conservation concern. Soil compaction, accelerated erosion and hydrologic alteration do not significantly impact the area. Direct signs of human-induced ecological stress are infrequent. Some rare plant and animal species may exist within this condition class.

#### Marginal Ecological Condition

Areas in this class often have both native and non-native plants. The composition and structure of native vegetation in this condition class is altered from the natural range of variation characteristic to this habitat type. Old-growth conditions are absent. Species diversity of native plants and animals is lower than the two high condition classes. Wildlife habitat conditions may be adequate for some species of conservation concern, but not adequate for many. Soil compaction, accelerated erosion and hydrologic alteration may impact the area. Direct signs of human-induced ecological stress are frequent. Most rare plant and animal species are only infrequently encountered within this condition class.

#### Poor Ecological Condition

Areas in this class are often dominated by non-native plants. The composition and structure of native vegetation in this condition class is often dramatically altered from the natural range of variation characteristic to this habitat type. Old-growth conditions are absent. Species diversity of native plants and animals is often low. Wildlife habitat conditions are not adequate for most species of conservation concern. Soil compaction, accelerated erosion and hydrologic alteration often impact the area. Direct signs of human-induced ecological stress are frequent. Rare plant and animal species are seldom encountered within this condition class.

## Appendix B – Vegetation Survey Data Methods and Codes

### Legend:

Site = name of locality of map project

Polygon = number you put on map

Name/Date = your name / day-month-year completed polygon survey

Photo roll/number = number of roll (on canister) and number of shot

#### Survey intensity

1 = walked or could see most of polygon (high confidence in survey data)

2 = walked or could see part of polygon interior (moderate confidence)

3 = walked perimeter or could see part of polygon interior (low confidence)

4 = photo interpretation or other remote survey

**VEGETATION COVER** includes all vascular plants, mosses, lichens and foliose lichens (crustose lichens excluded they are considered rock); this never exceeds 100%. Space between leaves/branches is included in "cover".

Code	Cover (%)	Cover mid-pt
0	0	0
1	<1	0.5
2	1-5	3
3	5-25	15
4	25-60	43
5	60-90	75
6	>90	95

**TOTAL VEGETATION COVER** includes all vascular plants, mosses, lichens and foliose lichens (crustose lichens excluded they are considered rock); this <u>never</u> exceeds 100%.

**TREES, SHRUBS, GRAMINOIDS, FORBS, EXOTICS** cover includes the space between leaves/branches. Each Life form category canopy cover must be 0-100%. Therefore, the sum of all life forms (layers) can exceed 100%. List most abundant species in each life form category; when trees are cored, note DBH, species, length of core, number of rings counted.

SOIL SURFACE estimate to nearest % the following, the sum of the categories adds to 100%

Rock outcrop = exposed bedrock including detached boulders over 1m across

Gravel/cobble = large fragments between sand and boulder

Bare ground = exposed mineral soil

Mosses/lichens = nonvascular plant cover on soil

Litter = includes logs, branches, and basal area of plants

Describe in comments if there is wide variation in any category; note % standing water if it is persistent or characteristic of site.

LAND USE - put 0 (zero) if not applicable to site.

#### Logging

1 = unlogged, no evidence of past logging or occasional cut stumps not part of systematic harvest of trees, no or very little impact on stand composition

2 = selectively logged: frequent cut stumps but origin of dominant or co-dominant cohort appears to be natural disturbance

3 = heavy logging disturbance with natural regeneration: many cut stumps that predate the dominant or co-dominant cohort with no tree planting

4 = tree plantation: dominant cohort appears to be planted after clearcutting

### Stand Age

- 1 = very young 0-40 yr
- 2 = young 40-90 yr
- 3 = mature 90-200 yr
- 4 =old-growth 200+ yr
- 5 = young with scattered old trees (2-10 old trees per acre)
- 6 = mature with scattered old trees

### Agriculture

- 1 = active annual cropping
- 2 = active perennial herbaceous cropping
- 3 = active woody plant cultivation
- 4 = fallow, plowed no crops this yr
- 5 = Federal CRP
- 6 = other

### Livestock

- 1 = active heavy grazing (most forage used to ground soil compaction or churning)
- 2 = active moderate grazing (25-75% forage used)
- 3 = active light grazing (lots of last years litter left)
- 4 = no current, heavy past grazing
- 5 = no current, light past grazing
- 6 = no obvious sign of grazing

### Development

- 1 = actively used facilities
- 2 = roads
- 3 = established trails
- 4 = abandoned facilities
- 5 = none obvious
- 6 = multiple types (detail in comments)

### Wildlife

- 1 = heavy ungulate use
- 2 = moderate ungulate use
- 3 = light to no ungulate use
- 4 = burrowing animals
- 5 = active beaver
- 6 = active porcupine
- 7 = other, list animal

#### **Recreation Use Severity**

1 = heavy use, abundant soil and vegetation displacement off trail/road
2 = moderate use, frequent soil and vegetation displacement off trail/road
3 = light use, little sign of activity off trail/road

#### **Recreation Use Primary Type**

- 1 = wheeled
- 2 = hoofed
- 3 = pedestrian
- 4 = combination of above
- 5 = other

#### Hydrology

1 = unaltered 2 = altered; dams, dikes, ditches, culverts, etc 3 = not assessed

**Plant Association** (PA) = list all PAs encountered in polygon survey, in comments list source of name if not on provided key.

Condition Rank of PA in key or estimate

% of Polygon = your estimate

**Pattern** = how PA is distributed in polygon

- 1 = matrix (most of polygon)
- 2 = large patches
- 3 = small patches
- 4 = clumped, clustered, contiguous
- 5 = scattered, more or less evenly repeating
- 6 = linear
- 7 = other

**Exotic** = primary species observed; secondary species observed.

**Plot Number** = number of any plots established for EO (element occurrence), or other more detail sheets within polygon.

## Appendix C – Vegetation Survey Polygon Data Polygon Number 1A

Survey Intensity Observer	1 PM			
Date	8/3/2008			
Total Vegetation Trees Total	0 0			
emergent	0			
maincanopy	0			
subcanopy	0			
Shrubs Total	0			
Dominant Shrubs	0			
> 1.5' tall	0			
< 1.5° tall Graminaida Tatal	0			
Dominant Graminaida	0			
Graminoide Poronnial	0			
Graminoids Annual	0			
Forbs Total	0			
Dominant Forbs	0			
Forbs Perennial	0			
Forbs Annual	0			
Ferns Total	0			
Ferns Evergreen	0	Exotic Speci	es	
Ferns Deciduous	0			
ExoticsTotal	5	Noxious Exotic	Plants	
Exotics Perennial	5			
Exotics Annual	3	Other Exotic Pla	ants	
Water	0			
Rock Outcrop	0			
•		Water:		0
Gravel	0			
		Rock:		0
Logging		Talus:		0
Fire:		Gravel:		0
Stand Age		Bare Ground:		0
Agriculture		Moss Lichen:		0
Livestock		Litter:		0
Wildlife				
Recreation Severity				
Recreation Type				
Hvdrology				
Vegetation Types		Percent	Pattern	
Existing Veg1: develo	ped	100	Matrix	

Rank DEVELO

Survey Intensity	1 DM			
Date	8/3/2008			
Total Vegetation	0			
Trees Total	0			
Dominant Trees	0			
emergent	0			
maincanony	0			
subcanopy	0			
Shrubs Total	Ő			
Dominant Shrubs	Ū.			
> 1.5' tall	0			
< 1.5' tall	0			
Graminoids Total	0			
Dominant Graminoid	ls			
Graminoids Perennia	<b>al</b> 0			
Graminoids Annual	0			
Forbs Total	0			
Dominant Forbs				
Forbs Perennial	0			
Forbs Annual	0			
Ferns Total	0			
Ferns Evergreen	0	Exotic Speci	es	
Ferns Deciduous	0	-		
ExoticsTotal	0	Noxious Exotic	Plants	
Exotics Perennial	0			
Exotics Annual	0	Other Exotic Pla	ants	
Water	0			
Rock Outcrop	0			
-		Water:	0	
Gravel	0			
		Rock:	0	
Logging		Talus:	0	
Fire:		Gravel:	0	
Stand Age		Bare Ground:	0	
Agriculture		Moss Lichen:	0	
LIVESTOCK		Litter:	0	
Development				
Poorostion Soverity				
Recreation Severity				
Hydrology				
,				
Vegetation Type	pes	Percent	Pattern	Rank
Existing Veg1:	Northwest Tribal Fisheries	s - not 100	Matrix	DEVELO
				22,220

Survey Intensity Observer	2 PM				
Date	8/3/2008				
Total Vegetation	0				
Trees Total	0				
Dominant Trees					
emergent	0				
maincanopy	0				
subcanopy	0				
Shrubs Total	0				
Dominant Shrubs	_				
> 1.5' tall	0				
< 1.5' tall	0				
Graminoids Total	. 0				
Dominant Graminol	ds				
Graminoids Perenni					
Grammolus Annual	0				
Dominant Forbs	0				
Forbs Perennial	0				
Forbs Annual	0				
Ferns Total	0				
Ferns Evergreen	0	Exotic Speci	es		
Ferns Deciduous	0		00		
ExoticsTotal	0	Noxious Exotic	Plants		
Exotics Perennial	0				
Exotics Annual	0	Other Exotic Pla	ante		
Water	100		anto		
Rock Outcrop	0				
	C C	Water:		100	
Gravel	0				
		Rock:		0	
Logging		Talus:		0	
Fire:		Gravel:		0	
Stand Age		Bare Ground:		0	
Agriculture		Moss Lichen:		0	
Livestock		Litter:		0	
Development					
Wildlife					
Recreation Severity					
Recreation Type					
nydrology					
Vegetation Ty	pes	Percent	Pattern		Rank
Existing Veg1:	Columbia River	100	Matrix		POOR

Survey Intensity Observer	2 PM				
	8/3/2008				
Total Vegetation	0				
Trees Total	0				
Dominant Trees	0				
maincanony	0				
subcanopy	0				
Shrubs Total	0				
Dominant Shrubs	0				
> 1.5' tall	0				
< 1.5' tall	0				
Graminoids Total	0				
Dominant Graminoids					
Graminoids Perennial	0				
Graminoids Annual	0				
Forbs Total	0				
Dominant Forbs					
Forbs Perennial	0				
Forbs Annual	0				
Ferns Total	0				
Ferns Evergreen	0	Exotic Speci	es		
Ferns Deciduous	0				
ExoticsTotal	0	Noxious Exotic	Plants		
Exotics Perennial	0				
Exotics Annual	0	Other Exotic Pla	ants		
Water	100				
Rock Outcrop	0				
		Water:		100	
Gravel	0				
		Rock:		0	
Logging		Talus:		0	
Fire:		Gravel:		0	
Stand Age		Bare Ground:		0	
Agriculture		WOSS LICHEN:		0	
Livestock Development		Litter:		0	
Wildlife					
Recreation Severity					
Recreation Type					
Hydrology					
–					
Vegetation Types	5	Percent	Pattern		Rank
Existing Veg1: Col	umbia River	100	Matrix		POOR

Survey Intensity Observer	2 PM				
Date	8/3/2008				
Total Vegetation	0				
Trees Total	0				
Dominant Trees					
emergent	0				
maincanopy	0				
subcanopy	0				
Shrubs Total	0				
Dominant Shrubs					
> 1.5' tall	0				
< 1.5' tall	0				
Graminoids Total	0				
Dominant Graminoids	0				
Graminolds Perennial	0				
Graminoids Annuai	0				
Portos Total	0				
Forba Perennial	٥				
Forbs Annual	0				
Forbs Annual Forbs Total	0				
	0	Exotia Spaci	<b>~</b>		
Ferns Evergreen	0	Exolic Speci	62		
Ferns Deciduous	0	Newieve Evetie	Diamta		
Exotics I otal	0	NOXIOUS EXOLIC	Plants		
Exotics Perennial	0				
Exotics Annual	0	Other Exotic Pla	ants		
Water	100				
Rock Outcrop	0				
	•	Water:		100	
Gravel	0	Deals		0	
		ROCK:		0	
Logging		Talus:		0	
		Graver:		0	
		Bare Ground:		0	
Agriculture		NOSS LICHEN.		0	
Development		Litter.		0	
Wildlife					
Recreation Severity					
Recreation Type					
Hydrology					
· · · · · · ·					
Vegetation Types	6	Percent	Pattern		Rank
Existing Veg1: Col	umbia River	100	Matrix		POOR

Survey Intensity Observer	2 PM				
	8/3/2008				
Total Vegetation	0				
Trees Total	0				
Dominant Trees	0				
maincanony	0				
subcanopy	0				
Shrubs Total	0				
Dominant Shrubs	0				
> 1.5' tall	0				
< 1.5' tall	0				
Graminoids Total	0				
Dominant Graminoids					
Graminoids Perennial	0				
Graminoids Annual	0				
Forbs Total	0				
Dominant Forbs					
Forbs Perennial	0				
Forbs Annual	0				
Ferns Total	0				
Ferns Evergreen	0	Exotic Speci	es		
Ferns Deciduous	0				
ExoticsTotal	0	Noxious Exotic	Plants		
Exotics Perennial	0				
Exotics Annual	0	Other Exotic Pla	ants		
Water	100				
Rock Outcrop	0				
		Water:		100	
Gravel	0				
		Rock:		0	
Logging		Talus:		0	
Fire:		Gravel:		0	
Stand Age		Bare Ground:		0	
Agriculture		WOSS LICHEN:		0	
Livestock Development		Litter:		0	
Wildlife					
Recreation Severity					
Recreation Type					
Hydrology					
–					
Vegetation Types	5	Percent	Pattern		Rank
Existing Veg1: Col	umbia River	100	Matrix		POOR

Survey Intensity Observer	2 PM				
Date	8/3/2008				
Total Vegetation	0				
Trees Total	0				
Dominant Trees					
emergent	0				
maincanopy	0				
subcanopy	0				
Shrubs Total	0				
Dominant Shrubs	_				
> 1.5' tall	0				
< 1.5' tall	0				
Graminoids Total	0				
Dominant Graminolo					
Grammolds Perennia					
Forbs Total	0				
Dominant Forbs	0				
Forbs Perennial	0				
Forbs Annual	Ő				
Ferns Total	0				
Ferns Evergreen	0	Exotic Speci	es		
Ferns Deciduous	0				
ExoticsTotal	0	Noxious Exotic	Plants		
Exotics Perennial	0				
Exotics Annual	0	Other Exotic Pla	ants		
Water	100		anto		
Rock Outcrop	0				
	-	Water:		100	
Gravel	0				
		Rock:		0	
Logging		Talus:		0	
Fire:		Gravel:		0	
Stand Age		Bare Ground:		0	
Agriculture		Moss Lichen:		0	
Livestock		Litter:		0	
Development					
Pocreation Soverity					
Recreation Type					
Hvdrology					
,					
Vegetation Typ	pes	Percent	Pattern		Rank
Existing Veg1:	Columbia River	100	Matrix		POOR

Survey Intensity Observer	2 PM				
	8/3/2008				
Total Vegetation	0				
Trees Total	0				
Dominant Trees	0				
maincanony	0				
subcanopy	0				
Shrubs Total	0				
Dominant Shrubs	0				
> 1.5' tall	0				
< 1.5' tall	0				
Graminoids Total	0				
Dominant Graminoids					
Graminoids Perennial	0				
Graminoids Annual	0				
Forbs Total	0				
Dominant Forbs					
Forbs Perennial	0				
Forbs Annual	0				
Ferns Total	0				
Ferns Evergreen	0	Exotic Speci	es		
Ferns Deciduous	0				
ExoticsTotal	0	Noxious Exotic	Plants		
Exotics Perennial	0				
Exotics Annual	0	Other Exotic Pla	ants		
Water	100				
Rock Outcrop	0				
		Water:		100	
Gravel	0				
		Rock:		0	
Logging		Talus:		0	
Fire:		Gravel:		0	
Stand Age		Bare Ground:		0	
Agriculture		WOSS LICHEN:		0	
Livestock Development		Litter:		0	
Wildlife					
Recreation Severity					
Recreation Type					
Hydrology					
–					
Vegetation Types	5	Percent	Pattern		Rank
Existing Veg1: Col	umbia River	100	Matrix		POOR

Survey Intensity Observer	1 PM			
Date	8/3/2008			
Total Vegetation	4			
Trees Total	3			
Dominant Trees	POBAT, ROPS, M	IOAL		
emergent	0			
maincanopy	2			
subcanopy	3			
Shrubs Total	3			
Dominant Shrubs	ELAN, SAEX, ERI	NA10, RUAR9		
> 1.5' tall	3			
< 1.5' tall	2			
Graminoids Total	2			
Dominant Graminoids	PHAR3, JUARL, E	ERIOP, SCIRP		
Graminoids Perennial	2			
Graminoids Annual	0			
Forbs Total	2			
Dominant Forbs	TYLA, ASFA, ARL	DR4,VICIA		
Forbs Perennial	2			
Forbs Annual	0			
Ferns Total	0			
Ferns Evergreen	0	Exotic Speci	es	
Ferns Deciduous	0			
ExoticsTotal	3	Noxious Exotic	Plants	
Exotics Perennial	3	CESTM, LELA2		
Exotics Annual	0	Other Exotic Pla	ants	
Water	50	ROPS, MEOF		
Rock Outcrop	0	<b>M</b> /- (		50
Gravel	2	water:		50
Glaver	2	Pock:		0
Logging	1	Talue:		0
Fire:	0	Gravel		2
Stand Age	1	Bare Ground:		5
Agriculture	0	Moss Lichen:		0
Livestock	0	l itter		43
Development	Antificial ponds			10
Wildlife	0			
Recreation Severity	3			
Recreation Type	3			
Hydrology	2			
··· · · · · ·				
vegetation lypes		Percent	Pattern	
Existing Veg1: artifi	cial pond/wetland	100	Matrix	

**Notes:** Scummy ponds with disturbed veg; not natural

Rank

POOR

Survey Intensity Observer Date	2 PM 8/3/2008		
Date Total Vegetation Trees Total Dominant Trees emergent maincanopy subcanopy Shrubs Total Dominant Shrubs > 1.5' tall < 1.5' tall Graminoids Total	8/3/2008 4 4 POAL7, POBA <sup>-</sup> 2 3 3 S ELAN, SAEX, N 3 2 2	T, ROPS, exotic trees ⁄/OAL, RUAR9, SALIX, E	RNA10
Dominant Gramino Graminoids Perenr Graminoids Annua Forbs Total Dominant Forbs	nids PHAR3, BRTE, nial 2 I 2 3 ASFA, VICIA, C	POBU CESO3, COCA5, MEOF,	GYPA, TYLA
Forbs Perennial Forbs Annual Ferns Total Ferns Evergreen Ferns Deciduous	3 2 0 0 0	Exotic Speci	es
ExoticsTotal Exotics Perennial Exotics Annual Water Rock Outcrop	4 4 2 50 0	Noxious Exotic CESO3, GYPA Other Exotic Pla PHAR3, MEOF, I	Plants ants BRTE
Gravel	5	Water:	50
Logging Fire: Stand Age Agriculture Livestock Development Wildlife Recreation Severity Recreation Type Hydrology	1 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 y 3 3 2	Rock: Talus: Gravel: Bare Ground: Moss Lichen: Litter:	0 5 5 0 40
Vegetation Ty	/pes	Percent	Pattern
Existing Veg1:	artificial pond/wetland	100	iviatrix

Existing Veg1: artificial pond/wetland Notes: Scummy pond lots of algee, trash, smelly Rank

POOR

Survey Intensity	2		
Observer	PM		
Date	8/3/2008		
Total Vegetation	6		
Trees Total	5		
Dominant Trees	POAL7, AIAL		
emergent	3		
maincanopy	4		
subcanopy	3		
Shrubs Total	3		
Dominant Shrubs	RUAR9, MOAL		
> 1.5' tall	3		
< 1.5' tall	2		
Graminoids Total	3		
Dominant Graminoids	AGCR, BRTE		
Graminoids Perennial	3		
Graminoids Annual	2		
Forbs Total	2		
Dominant Forbs	SIAL2, VICIA, ASFA		
Forbs Perennial	2		
Forbs Annual	1		
Ferns Total	0		
Ferns Evergreen	0	Exotic Species	
Ferns Deciduous	0		
ExoticsTotal	5	Noxious Exotic Plants	
Exotics Perennial	5	AIAL	
Exotics Annual	2	Other Exotic Plants	
Water	2	RUAR9	
Rock Outcrop	0		
		Water:	2
Gravei	1	D la	•
• • • • • • •		ROCK:	0
Logging	4	Talus:	0
File.		Gravel:	1
Stand Age	0	Moss Lichon:	2
Livostock	0	littor:	0
Development	6	Litter.	35
Wildlife	3		
Recreation Severity	3		
Recreation Type	3		
Hydrology	2 (water table		
Vegetation Types		Percent Pattern	

Existing Veg1:disturbed/exotic forest and wetland100MatrixNotes:Disturbed wetland forest, very stinky area

Rank

POOR

Survey Intensity Observer Date	2 PM 8/3/2008			
Total Vegetation Trees Total Dominant Trees emergent maincanopy	6 5 POAL7, AIAL 3 4			
Subcatopy Shrubs Total Dominant Shrubs > 1.5' tall	3 RHGL, RUAR9 3			
Graminoids Total Dominant Graminoids Graminoids Perennial	2 3 AGCR 3			
Graminoids Annual Forbs Total Dominant Forbs Forbs Perennial	2 2 SIAL2, ASFA, VICIA 2			
Forbs Annual Ferns Total Ferns Evergreen Ferns Deciduous	1 0 0 0	Exotic Speci	es	
ExoticsTotal Exotics Perennial Exotics Annual Water	5 5 1 2	Noxious Exotic AIAL Other Exotic Pla RUAR9	Plants ants	
Rock Outcrop Gravel	0 1	Water: Rock:		2 0
Logging Fire: Stand Age Agriculture	1 0 2 0	Talus: Gravel: Bare Ground: Moss Lichen:		0 1 2 0
Livestock Development Wildlife Recreation Severity Recreation Type Hydrology	0 DISTURBED 3 3 3 2	Litter:		95
Vegetation Types Existing Veg1: disturt	ped/exotic forest and we	Percent etland 100	<b>Pattern</b> Matrix	

**Notes:** Disturbed wetland forest, VERY STINKY PLACE

**Rank** POOR

Survey Intensity Observer	1 PM 8/2/2008			
Date	8/3/2008			
Total Vegetation	5			
Trees Total	5			
Dominant Trees	POBAT, POAL7, R	OPS		
emergent	2			
maincanopy	4			
subcanopy	3			
Shrubs Total	3			
Dominant Shrubs	RHGL, RUAR9, SA	EX		
> 1.5' tall	3			
< 1.5' tall	2			
Graminoids Total	3			
Dominant Graminoids	AGCR, ELGL, BRT	E, POBU, PHAR3		
Graminoids Perennial	3			
Graminoids Annual	1			
Forbs Total	4			
Dominant Forbs	LELA2, TRDU, ME	OF, COCA5, CIAR4		
Forbs Perennial	4			
Forbs Annual	2			
Ferns Total	0			
Ferns Evergreen	0	Exotic Species	S	
Ferns Deciduous	0	-		
ExoticsTotal	5	Noxious Exotic P	lants	
Exotics Perennial	~	LELA2 CLAR4		
	5			
Exotics Annual	5 2	Other Exotic Plan	ts	
Exotics Annual Water	5 2 0	Other Exotic Plan BRTE, POBU, PH/	AR3	
Exotics Annual Water Rock Outcrop	5 2 0 0	Other Exotic Plan BRTE, POBU, PH/	<b>its</b> AR3	
Exotics Annual Water Rock Outcrop	5 2 0 0	Other Exotic Plan BRTE, POBU, PH/ Water:	I <b>ts</b> AR3	0
Exotics Annual Water Rock Outcrop Gravel	5 2 0 0 3	Other Exotic Plan BRTE, POBU, PH/ Water:	Nts AR3	0
Exotics Annual Water Rock Outcrop Gravel	5 2 0 0 3	Other Exotic Plan BRTE, POBU, PH/ Water: Rock:	its AR3	0
Exotics Annual Water Rock Outcrop Gravel Logging	5 2 0 0 3 1	Other Exotic Plan BRTE, POBU, PH/ Water: Rock: Talus:	i <b>ts</b> AR3	0 0 0
Exotics Annual Water Rock Outcrop Gravel Logging Fire:	5 2 0 3 1 0	Other Exotic Plan BRTE, POBU, PH/ Water: Rock: Talus: Gravel:	n <b>ts</b> AR3	0 0 0 3
Exotics Annual Water Rock Outcrop Gravel Logging Fire: Stand Age	5 2 0 3 1 0 2	Other Exotic Plan BRTE, POBU, PH/ Water: Rock: Talus: Gravel: Bare Ground:	ı <b>ts</b> AR3	0 0 3 3
Exotics Annual Water Rock Outcrop Gravel Logging Fire: Stand Age Agriculture	5 2 0 3 1 0 2 0	Other Exotic Plan BRTE, POBU, PH/ Water: Rock: Talus: Gravel: Bare Ground: Moss Lichen:	ı <b>ts</b> AR3	0 0 3 3 0
Exotics Annual Water Rock Outcrop Gravel Logging Fire: Stand Age Agriculture Livestock	5 2 0 3 1 0 2 0 0	Other Exotic Plan BRTE, POBU, PH/ Water: Rock: Talus: Gravel: Bare Ground: Moss Lichen: Litter:	ıts AR3	0 0 3 3 0 94
Exotics Annual Water Rock Outcrop Gravel Logging Fire: Stand Age Agriculture Livestock Development	5 2 0 3 1 0 2 0 0 0 CAMPGROUND	Other Exotic Plan BRTE, POBU, PH/ Water: Rock: Talus: Gravel: Bare Ground: Moss Lichen: Litter:	ıts AR3	0 0 3 3 0 94
Exotics Annual Water Rock Outcrop Gravel Logging Fire: Stand Age Agriculture Livestock Development Wildlife	5 2 0 0 3 1 0 2 0 0 0 CAMPGROUND 0	Other Exotic Plan BRTE, POBU, PH/ Water: Rock: Talus: Gravel: Bare Ground: Moss Lichen: Litter:	ı <b>ts</b> AR3	0 0 3 3 0 94
Exotics Annual Water Rock Outcrop Gravel Logging Fire: Stand Age Agriculture Livestock Development Wildlife Recreation Severity	5 2 0 0 3 1 0 2 0 0 0 0 CAMPGROUND 0 1	Other Exotic Plan BRTE, POBU, PH/ Water: Rock: Talus: Gravel: Bare Ground: Moss Lichen: Litter:	ı <b>ts</b> AR3	0 0 3 3 94
Exotics Annual Water Rock Outcrop Gravel Logging Fire: Stand Age Agriculture Livestock Development Wildlife Recreation Severity Recreation Type	5 2 0 0 3 1 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Other Exotic Plan BRTE, POBU, PH/ Water: Rock: Talus: Gravel: Bare Ground: Moss Lichen: Litter:	ı <b>ts</b> AR3	0 0 3 3 0 94
Exotics Annual Water Rock Outcrop Gravel Logging Fire: Stand Age Agriculture Livestock Development Wildlife Recreation Severity Recreation Type Hydrology	5 2 0 0 3 1 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 CAMPGROUND 0 1 3 2	Other Exotic Plan BRTE, POBU, PH/ Water: Rock: Talus: Gravel: Bare Ground: Moss Lichen: Litter:	ı <b>ts</b> AR3	0 0 3 3 0 94
Exotics Annual Water Rock Outcrop Gravel Logging Fire: Stand Age Agriculture Livestock Development Wildlife Recreation Severity Recreation Type Hydrology	5 2 0 0 3 1 0 2 0 0 0 0 CAMPGROUND 0 1 3 2	Other Exotic Plan BRTE, POBU, PH/ Water: Rock: Talus: Gravel: Bare Ground: Moss Lichen: Litter:	ts AR3	0 0 3 3 0 94
Exotics Annual Water Rock Outcrop Gravel Logging Fire: Stand Age Agriculture Livestock Development Wildlife Recreation Severity Recreation Type Hydrology	5 2 0 0 3 1 0 2 0 0 0 CAMPGROUND 0 1 3 2	Other Exotic Plan BRTE, POBU, PH/ Water: Rock: Talus: Gravel: Bare Ground: Moss Lichen: Litter:	ts AR3 Pattern	0 0 3 3 0 94

Existing Veg1: disturbed/exotic forest and wetland 100 Matrix POOR Notes:

Rank

Survey Intensity Observer	2 PM				
Date	8/3/2008				
Total Vegetation	0				
Trees Total	0				
Dominant Trees	orchard trees				
emergent	0				
maincanopy	0				
subcanopy	0				
Shrubs Total	0				
Dominant Shrubs					
> 1.5' tall	0				
< 1.5' tall	0				
Graminoids Total	0				
Dominant Graminoids					
Graminoids Perennial	0				
Graminoids Annual	0				
Forbs Total	0				
Dominant Forbs	0				
Forbs Perennial	0				
Fords Annual	0				
	0	<b>E</b>			
Ferns Evergreen	0	Exotic Speci	es		
Ferns Deciduous	0				
ExoticsTotal	0	Noxious Exotic	Plants		
Exotics Perennial	0				
Exotics Annual	0	Other Exotic Pla	ants		
Water	0				
Rock Outcrop	0				
		Water:		0	
Gravel	0				
		Rock:		0	
Logging		Talus:		0	
Fire:		Gravel:		0	
Stand Age		Bare Ground:		0	
Agriculture		Moss Lichen:		0	
Livestock		Litter:		0	
Development					
Recreation Severity					
Recreation Type					
пуагоюду					
Vegetation Types		Percent	Pattern		Rank
Existing Veg1: orchar	ď	100	Matrix		DEVELO

**Notes:** note: this looks like private land, orchard

Survey Intensity Observer Date	2 PM 8/3/2008	
Total Vegetation Trees Total Dominant Trees emergenent	6 5 POAL7, POBAT, ROPS, MOAL 3	
subcanopy Shrubs Total	4 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	
> 1.5' tall < 1.5' tall	SAEA, RUARS 3 0	
Graminoids Total Dominant Graminoids Graminoids Perennial	2 POPR 2	
Forbs Total Dominant Forbs	0 3 LELA2, CIAR4, ASOF, ASFA, TAOF, MAPA5	
Forbs Fereninal Forbs Annual Ferns Total	o D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	
Ferns Evergreen Ferns Deciduous ExoticsTotal	0 Exotic Species 0 5 Noxious Exotic Plants	
Exotics Perennial Exotics Annual Water	5         LELA2, CIAR4           0         Other Exotic Plants           0         POPR, POAL7	
Rock Outcrop Gravel	0 Water: 0 2	)
Logging Fire: Stand Age Agriculture	Rock:         0           1         Talus:         1           0         Gravel:         2           2         Bare Ground:         2           0         Moss Lichen:         0	) <u>?</u> ? )
Livestock Development Wildlife Recreation Severity Recreation Type Hydrology	0 Litter: 9 DISTUBED 0 2 3 2	15
Vegetation Types	Percent Pattern	

Existing Veg1: disturbed/exotic forest and wetland 100 Matrix POOR Notes:

Rank

Survey Intensity Observer	1 PM		
Date	8/3/2008		
Total Vegetation	5		
Trees Total	4		
Dominant Trees	POBAT. exotic trees		
emergent	0		
maincanopy	4		
subcanopy	2		
Shrubs Total	3		
Dominant Shrubs	RHGL, SAEX		
> 1.5' tall	3		
< 1.5' tall	2		
Graminoids Total	3		
Dominant Graminoids	POBU, POPR, BRTE		
Graminoids Perennial	3		
Graminoids Annual	2		
Forbs Total	3		
Dominant Forbs	MEOF, LELA2, GYP	A	
Forbs Perennial	3		
Forbs Annual	2		
	0		-
Ferns Evergreen	0	Exotic Specie	es
Ferns Deciduous	0		
Exotics I otal	5	Noxious Exotic F	lants
Exotics Perennial	5	LELA2, GYPA	- 4 -
Exotics Annual	2		nts
Water Book Outeren	0	PUDU, DRIE	
Rock Outcrop	0	Wator	0
Gravel	0	Waler.	0
Graver	0	Rock:	0
Logging	1	Talus:	0
Fire:	0	Gravel:	Õ
Stand Age	2	Bare Ground:	0
Agriculture	0	Moss Lichen:	0
Livestock	0	Litter:	0
Development	DISTURBED		
Wildlife	0		
Recreation Severity	1		
Recreation Type	3		
Hydrology	2		
Vegetation Types		Percent	Pattern

Existing Veg1: disturbed/exotic forest 100 Matrix POOR Notes:

Rank

Survey Intensity Observer	2 PM 8/2/2008			
	8/3/2008			
Total Vegetation	0			
Trees Total	0			
Dominant Trees				
emergent	0			
maincanopy	0			
subcanopy	0			
Shrubs Iotal	0			
Dominant Shrubs	0			
> 1.5' tall	0			
< 1.5' tall	0			
Graminoids Total	. 0			
Dominant Graminoi	ds			
Graminoids Perenn				
Graminoids Annual	0			
Forbs Total	0			
Dominant Forbs				
Forbs Perennial	0			
Forbs Annual	0			
Ferns Total	0		_	
Ferns Evergreen	0	Exotic Spec	ies	
Ferns Deciduous	0			
ExoticsTotal	0	Noxious Exotic	Plants	
Exotics Perennial	0			
Exotics Annual	0	Other Exotic Pl	ants	
Water	0			
Rock Outcrop	0			
•	-	Water:	0	
Gravel	0			
		Rock:	0	
Logging		Talus:	0	
Fire:		Gravel:	0	
Stand Age		Bare Ground:	0	
Agriculture		Moss Lichen:	0	
Livestock		Litter:	0	
Development				
Wildlife				
Recreation Severity	,			
Recreation Type				
Hydrology				
Vocatation Ty	noc	Deres (	D. 44	<b>D</b> !
vegetation Ty	hee	Percent	Pattern	Kank
Existing Veg1:	Northwest Tribal Fisherie	es - not 100	Matrix	POOR
Notes:				